

Rao Sahib C. J. Mathew (Secretary to Government): I think it will be possible to say that.

Mr. Paramel Ipe Ipe (Kunnamkulam): How?

Rao Sahib C. J. Mathew (Secretary to Government): These people maintain accounts. And from the accounts one can see when exactly it is plucked and accounted for.

Mr. Paramel Ipe Ipe (Kunnamkulam): Therefore you say that only through accounts you can find it and not by an examination of the commodity?

Rao Sahib C. J. Mathew (Secretary to Government): Yes.

മിസ്റ്റർ പി. ടി. ജേക്കബ്: എങ്ങനെ നോക്കിട്ടാണു് തിരിച്ചറിയുകയു്?

റാവുസാഹിബ് സി. ജെ. മാത്യു: ഇപ്പോഴത്തെ അടക്കായ്ക്കു ഒരണ പിരിക്കുന്നുണ്ടു്. പഴയ നിരക്കിൽ ബാക്കിയുള്ളതിന്നു രണ്ടണയും പിരിക്കുന്നുണ്ടു്.

മിസ്റ്റർ പി. ടി. ജേക്കബ്: ചില കച്ചവടക്കാരുമോടു് രണ്ടണ പുതിയ അടക്കായ്ക്കു വാങ്ങിക്കുന്നുണ്ടെന്നു അറിയാമോ?

റാവുസാഹിബ് സി. ജെ. മാത്യു: ഇല്ല.

Mr. Paramel Ipe Ipe (Kunnamkulam): May I know what is the method adopted to distinguish the nuts soaked before 30th March 1946 from those soaked after that in case of a person who is not maintaining any accounts?

Rao Sahib C. J. Mathew (Secretary to Government): If a person does not maintain accounts I think it will be prejudicial to him; it will be presumed that the soaking was done earlier.

മിസ്റ്റർ പി. ടി. ജേക്കബ്: ഒരു മാക്കറ്റിൽ എങ്ങനെയാണു് രണ്ടതരം വിലയുണ്ടാകുന്നതു്?

റാവുസാഹിബ് സി. ജെ. മാത്യു: ഇപ്പോൾ രണ്ടതരം കൂടാതെ കഴികയില്ല.

Mr. Paramel Ipe Ipe (Kunnamkulam): So in taxing the assumption is against the cultivator?

Rao Sahib C. J. Mathew (Secretary to Government): Not exactly against the cultivator.

Mr. Paramel Ipe Ipe (Kunnamkulam): If there is no account the presumption is....

Mr. President: Are these people not bound to keep accounts?

Mr. P. Kumaran Ezhuthassan (Vadakkancheri): Are all the cultivators bound to keep accounts?

Rao Sahib C. J. Mathew (Secretary to Government): Not cultivators, only sellers.

Mr. Paramel Ipe Ipe (Kunnamkulam): What?

Rao Sahib C. J. Mathew (Secretary to Government): If there are no accounts maintained to show exactly when it was soaked I think it will be left to the discretion of the Officer on the spot to decide on evidence gathered by him through enquiries made locally.

ARTICLE IN THE "DEENABANDHU" DATED 30 TH MAY 1946

290. **Mr. P. K. Dewar (Nominated):** Will Government be pleased to state.—

(a) whether their attention has been drawn to the article in the "Deenabandhu" of 30th May 1946 under the caption "പൊലീസ്സും പൊതുജനങ്ങളും അറഞ്ഞിരിക്കണം" by one Mr. Kunju Ezhuthassan, a bullock cart driver;

(b) whether they have made any enquiry into the allegations made by the bullock cart driver;

(c) whether it was a fact that the cloth-dealer mentioned in the article forcibly got Rs. 325 from the bullock cart driver in question being the cost of a watch and chain lost by the said cloth dealer;

(d) whether it was a fact that subsequently it was found that the watch was really stolen by one of the servants of the said cloth dealer and the watch was recovered;

(e) whether it was a fact that the watch in question was taken to a gold-smith in Ollur for sale and that the gold-smith suspecting foul play handed the watch over to the Police and that the Police in their turn handed the same over to the owner and that no further action was taken by the Police in the matter?

Mr. B. V. K. Menon (Secretary to Government):

(a) Yes.

(b) Yes.

(c) No.

(d) Yes.

(e) It is true that the watch was handed over to the Police by gold-smith; but Crime 70/21 was registered in Trichur Town Station regarding this and the accused was convicted and sentenced to undergo Rigorous Imprisonment for 2 months. After the conviction the property was returned to the owner by the Court.

Mr. P. K. Dewar (Nominated): Sir, to the question whether it was a fact that the cloth-dealer mentioned in the article forcibly got Rs. 325 from the bullock cart driver in question being the cost of a watch and chain lost by the said cloth dealer; the answer given is 'No'. May I know, Sir, whether the Bullock cart driver, Kunju Ezhuthassan who is also the author of the article under reference was questioned about this?

Mr. B. V. K. Menon (Secretary to Government): I presume that he might have been questioned. There is no definite information here to say categorically that he has been questioned.

Mr. P. K. Dewar (Nominated): Will the Government take steps to ascertain this?

Mr. B. V. K. Menon (Secretary to Government): As a matter of fact I have got evidence to show that this amount was not paid by this Kunju Ezhuthassan but that it was paid by an uncle of his. He, the uncle, executed a promissory note to obtain money to pay the dealer. This man, Mr. Sankaran Ezhuthassan says that it was he himself who paid the amount and that he has no complaint.

Mr. P. K. Dewar (Nominated): So you say that this Sankaran Ezhuthassan executed the pronote?

Mr. B. V. K. Menon (Secretary to Government): Yes. To raise the money to be paid to Mr. Lonappen.

Mr. P. K. Dewar (Nominated): So you admit it is a fact that a pronote was executed by Sankaran Ezhuthassan. Was he right to do so?

Mr. President: Order. Order. Government are not in a position to give an opinion as to whether it was right or not.

Mr. P. K. Dewar (Nominated): May I know whether any action was taken against this cloth dealer for forcing a man to execute a pronote like that?

Mr. B. V. K. Menon (Secretary to Government): The evidence shows that it was not got written forcibly. The evidence is that this Sankaran Ezhuthassan, in order to relieve Kunjan Ezhuthassan, his nephew, voluntarily executed the pronote and raised funds. He himself apparently then doubted that Kunjan Ezhuthassan himself might have taken the watch. So to avoid further trouble in the matter he executed a promissory note, raised the necessary amount and satisfied the cloth dealer. He, however, says he has no complaint.

മിസ്റ്റർ കെ. എസ്സ്. പണിക്കർ: കക്കാത്ത മുതലിനു നോട്ട് എഴുതിക്കൊടുത്തത് സന്തോഷത്തോടുകൂടിയാണോ?

മിസ്റ്റർ ബി. വി. കെ. മേനോൻ: സന്തോഷത്തോടുകൂടിയില്ല. മരുമകൻ എടുത്തതാണെന്നു വിചാരിച്ചിട്ടാണ് നോട്ട് എഴുതി കൊടുത്തത്.

മിസ്റ്റർ കെ. ടി. അയ്യപ്പൻ: ആ സംഖ്യ പിന്നീട് മടക്കി കൊടുത്തുവോ?

മിസ്റ്റർ ബി. വി. കെ. മേനോൻ: മടക്കി കൊടുത്തു.

മിസ്റ്റർ കെ. എസ്സ്. പണിക്കർ: ആ സംഖ്യയിൽ വല്ലതും പിടിച്ചെടുത്തിട്ടുണ്ടോ?

മിസ്റ്റർ ബി. വി. കെ. മേനോൻ: ഇല്ല.

Mr. K. I. Velayudhan (Ezhuvas-Talappalli): May I know, Sir, who is that Cloth-Merchant?

Mr. B. V. K. Menon (Secretary to Government): Chako'a Pyloth Lonappan.

Mr. P. Kumaran Ezhuthassan (Vadakkancheri): May I know, Sir, whether this taking a promissory note for Rs. 325 was not in lieu of the value of the watch?

Mr. B. V. K. Menon (Secretary to Government): To raise the value of the watch.

Mr. P. Kumaran Ezhuthassan (Vadakkancheri): You have to admit it. The evidence shows that. Has any action been taken against this Chakola Pyloth Lonappan?

Mr. B. V. K. Menon (Secretary to Government): The person who paid the amount says he has no complaint.

Mr. P. Kumaran Ezhuthassan (Vadakkancheri): Criminal cases are not conducted only on the complaints of somebody. Now, Sir, for the alleged stealing of a watch by Kunjan Ezhuthassan, a cart man, his uncle was caused to execute a promissory note?

Mr. B. V. K. Menon (*Secretary to Government*): It was not a question of causing him to execute pronote. He wanted to pay the cost of the watch to save his nephew. For that he raised money by executing a promissory note.

Mr. P. Kumaran Ezhuthassan (*Vadakkancheri*): Now, Sir, it is stated that a promissory note was taken by the owner of the watch?

Mr. B. V. K. Menon (*Secretary to Government*): What happened was this. Sankaran Ezhuthassan executed a promissory note to raise necessary funds to save his nephew.

Mr. P. Kumaran Ezhuthassan (*Vadakkancheri*): To whom, Sir, was the note executed?

Mr. B. V. K. Menon (*Secretary to Government*): To an employee of Mr. Lonappan.

Mr. P. Kumaran Ezhuthassan (*Vadakkancheri*): Now, Sir, after the alleged stealing of the watch, Sankaran Ezhuthassan, a relation of the alleged then voluntarily executed a pronote in the name of an employee of the owner of the watch. That evidence is before the authorities. My question now is whether any action for these acts has been taken against C. P. Lonappan?

Mr. B. V. K. Menon (*Secretary to Government*): No.

Mr. P. Kumaran Ezhuthassan (*Vadakkancheri*): Is the Government having any idea of taking any action against him?

Mr. B. V. K. Menon (*Secretary to Government*): Not on this evidence.

ARTICLE IN THE "DEENABANDHU" DATED 11th KUMBHAM 1121

291. മിസ്റ്റർ വി. ആർ. കൃഷ്ണനെഴുത്തശ്ശൻ:

താഴെ പറയുന്ന ചോദ്യങ്ങൾക്ക് ബഹുമാനപ്പെട്ട ഗവണ്മെണ്ട് സദയം മറുപടി പറയുമോ.—

(എ) “ഇതാണോ കർഷകരക്ഷ” എന്ന തലക്കെട്ടിൽ കുഴുർ ചിറക്കകത്തുള്ള പുറമ്പോക്കു പാലുകളിൽനിന്നും മത്സ്യം പിടിക്കുന്നതിനെ സംബന്ധിച്ച മ.മ.പ.കം.ഭം. മ.മ.പ.ക.യുടെ “ഭീനബന്ധു” വീൽ ഉണ്ടായിരുന്ന ഒരു ലേഖനം ഗവണ്മെന്റിന്റെ ശ്രദ്ധയിൽ പെടുകയുണ്ടായോ;

(ബി) പ്രസ്തുത ലേഖനത്തെ സംബന്ധിച്ച ഗവണ്മെണ്ട് എന്താണു ചെയ്തത്?

റാവുസാഹിബ് എ. മാധവപ്രഭു:

(എ) ഉവ്വ്.

(ബി) വേണ്ട അന്വേഷണം ചെയ്തു.

മിസ്റ്റർ പി. മാണി: ഈ അന്വേഷണത്തിൽ നിന്ന് എന്താണു മനസ്സിലായത്?

Rao Sahib A. Madhava Prabhu (*Diwan Peishkar*): It was found that the fishery was given for being worked out after the cultivation season was over. A mis-use of it would be penalised according to the terms of the fishery contract.

Mr. P. Kumaran Ezhuthassan (*Vadakkancheri*): May I know, Sir, what this complaint relates to?

Rao Sahib A. Madhava Prabhu (*Diwan Peishkar*): The complaint was the fisherman to whom this fishery was granted were catching fish earlier than the season when it was intended to be worked and by that process they were spoiling the cultivation of the Pancha crop in that particular locality.

COCHIN RESTAURANTS

292. മിസ്റ്റർ ടി. കെ. എൽ. കുഞ്ഞുവറിയതു:

താഴെ പറയുന്ന ചോദ്യങ്ങൾക്ക് ബഹുമാനപ്പെട്ട ഗവണ്മെണ്ട് സദയം മറുപടി പറയുമോ.—

(എ) കൊച്ചിൻ റസ്റ്റോറന്റ് നടത്തിപ്പുകാർക്ക് ഏതെല്ലാം തരത്തിലുള്ള ആനുകൂല്യങ്ങളാണു നൽകിവരുന്നത്;

(ബി) ഈ ആനുകൂല്യങ്ങൾക്കു ഗവണ്മെന്റിൽനിന്നു ഒരു കൊല്ലം എത്ര സംഖ്യ ചിലവു ചെയ്യുന്നുണ്ടു്;

(സി) ഗവണ്മെണ്ട് ഈ റസ്റ്റോറന്റുകൾക്കു നൽകിവരുന്ന ആനുകൂല്യങ്ങൾ നിർത്തലാക്കുന്നതിന്നു് ആലോചനയുണ്ടോ?